

Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 MTN GE 03746 01 OF 02 221056Z

12

ACTION STR-04

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-10 IO-10 ISO-00 FEA-01 AGR-10 CEA-01

CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 EB-07 FRB-01 H-02 INR-07

INT-05 L-02 LAB-04 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-02 AID-05

CIEP-02 SS-15 TAR-01 TRSE-00 USIA-15 PRS-01 SP-02

OMB-01 AF-06 ARA-10 NEA-10 OIC-02 (ISO) W

----- 019436

R 220959Z MAY 75

FM USDEL MTN GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 101

INFO AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

UNCLAS SECTION 1 OF 2 MTN GENEVA 3746

PASS STR FOR ACTION

EO 11652: N/A

TAGS: ETRD, GATT

SUBJ: MTN: TARIFFS GROUP MEETING MAY 13-16: CHAIRMAN'S NOTE

REF: MTN GENEVA 3646

THE FOLLOWING IS THE CHAIRMAN'S NOTE CONTAINING A RECORD
OF DECISIONS TAKEN AND CONCLUSIONS REACHED AT THE MTN
TARIFFS GROUP MEETING MAY 13-16 , ISSUED MAY 21 AS
DOCUMENT MTN/TAR/2 QUOTE

1. THE GROUP MET FROM 13-16 MAY AND TOOK THE FOLLOWING
DECISIONS AND REACHED THE FOLLOWING CONCLUSIONS. IT
INVITED THE SECRETARY-GENERAL OF UNCTAD OR HIS REPRESENTATIVE
TO ATTEND THIS SESSION OF THE GROUP AS AN OBSERVER.

2. THE GROUP HEARD A NUMBER OF HYPOTHESES ON A TARIFF-
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 MTN GE 03746 01 OF 02 221056Z

CUTTING FORMULA, INCLUDING THE FOLLOWING:

(A) A WORKING HYPOTHESIS FOR HARMONIZATION PROVIDING FOR DUTY REDUCTION BY A PERCENTAGE EQUAL TO INITIAL AD VALOREM DUTY, WITH REPEATED APPLICATION OF THE FORMULA, THE TERMINAL TARIFF RATE RESULTING FROM THE PRECEDING APPLICATION BECOMING THE NEW INITIAL RATE (Y EQUALS X REPEATED SEVERAL TIMES). CERTAIN MODIFICATIONS SHOULD BE MADE FOR THE APPLICATION OF THIS FORMULA TO TARIFF ABOVE 50 PERCENT TO AVOID "LEAP FROG EFFECT", AND THESE COULD BE ACHIEVED BY SETTING A UNIFORM TERMINAL RATE FOR HIGHER DUTIES. ONE DELEGATION SUGGESTED THAT THIS FORMULA, IF APPLIED, SHOULD BE REPEATED THREE TIMES;

(B) A 60 PERCENT LINEAR REDUCTION;

(C) A COMBINATION LINEAR-HARMONIZATION FORMULA CALLING FOR 60 PERCENT LINEAR REDUCTION WITH A 5 PERCENT FLOOR,

(D) A COMBINATION OF 60 PERCENT LINEAR REDUCTION MINUS A HARMONIZATION FACTOR 60 OVER X WHERE X REPRESENTS THE INITIAL AD VALOREM TARIFF RATE ON EACH ITEM;

(E) A FORMULA Z EQUALS AX PLUS B WHERE:

Z EQUALS FINAL RATE OF DUTY

X EQUALS INITIAL AD VALOREM RATE OF DUTY

A EQUALS 100 PERCENT MINUS RATE OF REDUCTION

B EQUALS CONSTANT RATE

ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES:

Z EQUALS 0.4X PLUS 3

Z EQUALS 0.5X PLUS 2.5

ONE DELEGATION SUGGESTED THAT AS FAR AS PRODUCTS OF INTEREST TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES ARE CONCERNED THE COEFFICIENT "B" SHOULD BE DEDUCTED (Z EQUALS AX MINUS B)

(F) HARMONIZATION FORMULA: Z EQUALS A TIMES THE SQUARE

ROOT OF X PLUS B, WHERE:

Z EQUALS FINAL RATE

X EQUALS INITIAL RATE

A,B MINUS COEFFICIENTS TO

BE NEGOTIATED

(G) A BUILT-IN DIFFERENTIATOR "L" APPLIED TO ATRIFF REDUCTION VIS-A-VIS DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. IT WAS SUGGESTED THAT THIS DIFFERENTIATOR SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN EACH OF THE ABOVE FORMULAE. IT WAS FURTHER SUGGESTED THAT WHERE GSP IS APPLICABLE FOR PRODUCTS OF DEVELOPING UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 MTN GE 03746 01 OF 02 221056Z

COUNTRIES, THE RATE OF DUTY TO BE APPLIED SHOULD BE EITHER THE GSP RATE OFR THE ABOE M.F.N. DIFFERNETIATED RATE WHICHEVER IS LOWER. WHEN THE GSP RATE IS SUBJECT TO QUOTAS OR CEILINGS, DEVELOPING COUNTRIES SHOULD BE ABLE TO DECIDE WHETHER THEY WISH THE GSP RATE OR THE M.F.N. DIGGERENTIATED RATE TO BE APPLIED.

3. A DELEGATION RECALLED ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF THE PRO-

POSAL IT HAD SUBMITTED AT RHE PREVIOUS MEETING, WHICH,
BROADLY SPEAKING, WAS THE FOLLOWING:

DUTIES OF 5 PERCENT AND UNDER

TOTAL ELIMINATION

DUTIES OVER 5 PERCENT

ALTERNATIVE (A) AS A FIRST STEP, ALL RATES

OVER 20 PERCENT SHOULD BE

REDUCED TO 20 PERCENT.

AS A SECOND STEP, ALL RATES

THEN BETWEEN 5 AND 20 PERCENT

TO BE SUBJECT TO EITHER:

(A) LINEAR CUTS OF, SAY, 60

PERCENT OR 50 PERCENT.

(B) WEIGHTED REDUCTIONS OF,

SAY, 60 PERCENT OR 50

PERCENT.

ALTERNATIVE (B) ALL RATES OVER 5 PERCENT TO

BE SUBJECT TO:

(A) LINEAR CUTS, OR

(B) WEIGHTED AVERAGE CUTS, OR

(C) A PROGRESSIVE FORMULA I.E.

THE HIGHER THE RATE THE

BIGGER THE CUT.

A FUNDAMENTAL ELEMENT OF THESE ALTERNATIVE PROPOSALS IS
HOW TO DEAL WITH EXCEPTIONS.

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 MTN GE 03746 02 OF 02 221149Z

50

ACTION STR-04

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-10 IO-10 ISO-00 AGR-10 CEA-01

CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 EB-07 FRB-01 H-02 INR-07

INT-05 L-02 LAB-04 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-02 AID-05

CIEP-02 SS-15 TAR-01 TRSE-00 USIA-15 PRS-01 SP-02

FEAE-00 OMB-01 AF-06 ARA-10 NEA-10 OIC-02 /153 W

----- 013426

R 220959Z MAY 75
FM USDEL MTN GENEVA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 102
INFO AMEMBASSY OTTAWA
AMEMBASSY TOKYO
USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

UNCLAS SECTION 2 OF 2 MTN GENEVA 3746

PASS STR FOR ACTION

4. THERE WAS IN INITIAL DISCUSSION ON EACH OF THESE HYPOTHESES OR PROPOSALS. SOME DELEGATIONS WERE OF THE VIEW THAT PROPOSALS WHICH ESTABLISH A FLOOR SHOULD BE CONSIDERED WITHIN THE DEVELOPMENT OF EXCEPTIONS PROCEDURES. IT WAS AGREED THAT ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION OF THESE PROPOSALS AND HYPOTHESES MUST AWAIT THEIR DETAILED EXAMINATION BY THE PARTICIPATING GOVERNMENTS AND THAT THE GROUP WOULD REVERT TO THIS QUESTION AT ITS NEXT MEETING, IT BEING UNDERSTOOD THAT DELEGATIONS COULD PUT FORWARD FURTHER HYPOTHESES.

5. THERE WAS FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE QUESTION OF BASE RATES/BASE DATE AS FAR AS UNBOUND RATES ARE CONCERNED. SINCE IT WAS NOT POSSIBLE TO REACH AN AGREEMENT, THE GROUP DECIDED TO REVERT TO THIS QUESTION AT ITS NEXT MEETING.

6. IN THIS CONTEXT, THE GROUP DISCUSSED THE QUESTION OF THE TARIFF RATE INFORMATION FILE AND THE EXPLANATORY NOTE DESCRIBING PROCEDURES
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 MTN GE 03746 02 OF 02 221149Z

FOR FIXING AND MODIFYING TARIFF RATES, SUBMISSION OF WHICH WAS DECIDED BY GROUP 3(A) AT THE PREPARATORY STAGE OF THE NEGOTIATIONS (SEE MTN/4, PARAGRAPHS 16-22). THE GROUP AGREED THAT THE PARTICIPANTS WHICH HAVE NOT SO FAR SUBMITTED THE REQUESTED DATA WITH THE EXPLANATORY NOTE SHOULD DO THIS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. IF IT WERE TO TAKE SOME TIME TO PRODUCE THE FILE, THE PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES SHOULD SUBMIT THE EXPLANATORY NOTE FIRST.

7. THE GROUP FURTHER DISCUSSED THE QUESTION OF HOW ACCOUNT MIGHT BE TAKEN OF THE IMPORTANCE OF MAINTAINING AND IMPROVING THE GENERALIZED SYSTEM OF PREFERENCES AND HOW SPECIAL AND MORE FAVOURABLE TREATMENT, WHERE FEASIBLE AND APPROPRIATE, MAY BE PROVIDED TO EXPORTS OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES IN THIS CONTEXT, SOME DELEGATIONS REITERATED THAT A TARIFF NEGOTIATING PLAN SHOULD INCLUDE, INTER ALIA, PROVISIONS TO THE EFFECT THAT:

- INCREASED SECURITY OF THE GENERALIZED SYSTEM OF PREFERENCES

SHOULD BE ENSURED.

- PREFERENTIAL MARGINS FOR PRODUCTS OF SPECIAL EXPORT INTEREST TO THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES SHOULD BE BOUND IN SPECIAL SCHEDULES OF CONCESSIONS,
- EXISTING LIMITATIONS ON THE GENERALIZED SYSTEM OF PREFERENCES SHOULD BE REMOVED AND POSITIVE GSP RATES SHOULD BE REDUCED DOWN TO ZERO,
- THE MARGINS OF PREFERENCE SHOULD BE PRESERVED, TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE,
- THE PRODUCT COVERAGE OF THE GSP SHOULD BE INCREASED, ESPECIALLY BY COMPRISING THE PRODUCTS INCLUDED IN BTN CHAPTERS 1-24,
- TARIFF ESCALATION AFFECTING PRODUCTS OF INTEREST TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES SHOULD BE ELIMINATED OR SUBSTANTIALLY REDUCED,
- SPECIAL PROCEDURES FOR THE PARTICIPATION OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES IN THE TARIFF NEGOTIATIONS SHOULD BE ELABORATED,
- PRODUCTS, WHERE GSP TREATMENT IS NOT FEASIBLE, SHOULD BE SUBJECT TO DEEPER THAN AVERAGE TARIFF CUTS,

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 MTN GE 03746 02 OF 02 221149Z

- PREFERENCES SHOULD BE APPLIED IN A NON-DISCRIMINATORY WAY TO ALL DEVELOPING COUNTRIES;
- PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT GRANTED BY A GROUP OF DEVELOPED COUNTRIES TO SOME DEVELOPING COUNTRIES OUTSIDE THE GSP SHOULD BE EXTENDED TO ALL DEVELOPING COUNTRIES,
- MFN TARIFF CUTS FOR PRODUCTS NOT INCLUDED IN THE GSP SHOULD BE IMPLEMENTED IN ADVANCE IN FAVOUR OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES.

8. THE GROUP HAD ONLY A PRELIMINARY EXCHANGE OF VIEWS ON THESE PROPOSALS DURING WHICH A NUMBER OF DELEGATIONS FROM DEVELOPED COUNTRIES OUTLINED ACTIONS THEY WERE TAKING OR ARE PLANNING TO TAKE TO IMPROVE THE ACCESS OF PRODUCTS FROM DEVELOPING COUNTRIES TO THEIR MARKETS. IT WAS AGREED THAT THESE PROPOSALS SHOULD BE FURTHER DISCUSSED AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE GROUP.

9. IT WAS SUGGESTED THAT, BEFORE A FINAL SELECTION OF FORMULAE FOR THE TARIFF NEGOTIATIONS IS MADE, A STUDY OF THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR THE TRADE OF THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES SHOULD BE CARRIED OUT. IT WAS AGREED THAT THE GROUP WOULD REVERT TO THE CONTENT AND TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF THIS QUESTION AT ITS NEXT MEETING.

10. FOLLOWING A PRELIMINARY DISCUSSION OF A PROPOSAL ON THE DETERMINATION OF UNIT OF REFERENCE (MTN/TAR/W/2), THE GROUP AGREED TO REVERT TO THE QUESTION AT ITS NEXT MEETING.

11. THERE WAS A FIRST EXCHANGE OF VIEWS BY SOME DELEGATIONS ON

CERTAIN OTHER ELEMENTS THAT WOULD NEED TO BE INCLUDED IN ANY TARIFF NEGOTIATING PLAN, INCLUDING, INTER ALIA, THE STAGING OF CUTS, TARIFF ESCALATION, VALUATION, THRESHOLD DUTIES, COUNTRIES TO BE EXEMPT FROM THE OBLIGATION TO IMPLEMENT A GENERAL FORMULA, AND EXCEPTIONS. IT WAS AGREED THAT THE GROUP REVERT AT ITS NEXT MEETING TO THESE, AS WELL AS TO OTHER ELEMENTS IN A TARIFF NEGOTIATING PLAN, INCLUDING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE TARIFF NEGOTIATIONS AND PARTICULAR NON-TARIFF MEASURES.

12. THE GROUP DECIDED THAT THE SECRETARIAT SHOULD PREPARE, ON THE BASIS OF PAST EXPERIENCE AND OF THE DISCUSSIONS IN THE GROUP AND ITS PREDECESSORS, A PAPER SETTING FORTH VARIOUS ELEMENTS WHICH, UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 MTN GE 03746 02 OF 02 221149Z

INTER ALIA, MIGHT BE INCLUDED IN A TARIFF NEGOTIATING PLAN.

13. A SUGGESTION WAS MADE THAT THE PARTICIPANTS SHOULD SUBMIT TO EACH OTHER, OR VIA THE SECRETARIAT, THEIR MOST RECENT TARIFF. THIS SUGGESTION RECEIVED SOME SUPPORT IN THE GROUP BUT NO FORMAL DECISION WAS TAKEN ON THE MATTER. A SUGGESTION WAS ALSO MADE THAT AS A PART OF ANY TARIFF NEGOTIATING PLAN COUNTRIES SHOULD CONSIDER TABLING THEIR OFFERS IN THE FORM OF A CONSOLIDATED GATT SCHEDULE SHADING OUT ITEMS WHICH ARE NOT BOUND AND INDICATING ITEMS WHICH THEY DO NOT INTEND TO BIND.

14. THE GROUP ASKED TO HOLD ITS NEXT MEETING ON 7 AND 8 JULY, PROVIDED GROUP "AGRICULTURE" IS WILLING TO BEGIN ITS MEETING ON 9 JULY, WITH THE POSSIBILITY OF MEETING ONE MORE DAY DURING THAT WEEK OR ON 14 JULY.

15. THE AGENDA FOR THE NEXT MEETING WOULD BE AS AGREED ABOVE.
UNQUOTE
MCNAMARA

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: TARIFF BARRIERS, NEGOTIATIONS, COUNTERVAILING DUTIES, GENERALIZED PREFERENCES (TARIFFS)
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 22 MAY 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975MTNGE03746
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750180-0258
From: MTN GENEVA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750527/aaaaayik.tel
Line Count: 317
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION STR
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 6
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 MTN GENEVA 3646
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: SmithRJ
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 24 JUL 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <24 JUL 2003 by SilvaL0>; APPROVED <03 FEB 2004 by SmithRJ>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MTN: TARIFFS GROUP MEETING MAY 13-16: CHAIRMAN'S NOTE
TAGS: ETRD, GATT, MTN
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006